	Page 10		Page 12
1	was?	1	been transferred over when I moved from laptop
2	A. No, I don't.	2	to laptop.
3	Q. Have you reviewed any documents in	3	Q. So that document there is actually a
4	preparation for today's deposition?	4	electronic version of that document somewhere?
5	A. I reviewed the one page document that I	5	A. Yes, there is.
6	found and produced. That's the only document	6	Q. Did you send that electronic version to
7	that I reviewed.	7	your attorney, Mr. Zahnd, or did you send him a
8	Q. That's the last document of Exhibit 2,	8	hand copy?
9	is that right?	9	A. Mr. Zahnd is not my attorney. He's a
10	A. Yes, that's correct.	10	co-worker.
11	Q. Did you author that document?	11	Q. At the time that was produced,
12	A. Yes, I did.	12	Mr. Zahnd wrote to me acting as your counsel,
13	Q. What would be the purpose for you	13	right?
14	creating this document?	14	A. I don't know that that's correct.
15	A. This was information that we passed on	15	Q. I'm not going to argue whether he's
16	to Jefferson-Pilot during the course of a file	16	your lawyer or not. Mr. Zahnd is the person
17	review that we had at Jefferson-Pilot. We	17	that sent that letter and document to me,
18	created this for use at Jefferson-Pilot and that	18	correct?
19	would be the primary purpose.	19	A. That is correct.
20	Q. Do you recall personally being engaged	20	Q. Did he author this letter or did you
21	in a file review at Jefferson-Pilot?	21	ghostwrite it?
22	A. I recall going to Jefferson-Pilot.	22	A. I did not ghostwrite it. I assumed he
23	Q. On how many occasions would you go to	23	authored it.
24	Jefferson-Pilot to do file reviews?	24	Q. How did he come to possess the document
25	A. I don't recall.	25	that he gave to me?
	Page 11		Page 13
1	Q. Did you go on an annual basis or more	1	A. I gave it to him.
2	frequently?	2	Q. By hand or electronically?
3	A. I don't recall.	3	A. I believe I printed it out and gave it
4	Q. Or less frequently?	4	to him by hand.
5	A. I don't recall.	5	Q. Do you still maintain the electronic
6	Q. But you're certain this particular page	6	version of it?
7	was generated as a result of your actual	7	A. Yes, I do.
8	physical visit to North Carolina at	8	Q. Do you know when it was created, the
9	Jefferson-Pilot reviewing files?	9	document?
10	A. Yes, I am.	10	A. No, I don't.
11	Q. How can you be so certain?	11	Q. Did you go to Jefferson-Pilot in 1997
12	A. The material was located in a file of	12	to do this review or was it earlier?
13	other Jefferson-Pilot claimants and was material	13	A. I don't recall.
14	that would have been produced to	14	Q. Can we conclude it's 1997 since you
15	Jefferson-Pilot.	15	asked for tax returns from '93 through '96?
16	Q. I'm not sure I understood you. What	16	A. We can conclude it's after 1996. I
17	material are you talking about?	17	honestly don't recall beyond that.
18	A. This was part of a group of file	18	Q. If it were 1998 you would have
19	reviews that we did at Jefferson-Pilot.	19	requested the '97 returns too, right?
20	Q. That document	20	A. Possibly. It would depend on the facts
21	A. That's correct.	21	of the case and I just don't remember enough to
22	Q was maintained by you in 2007 in a	22	tell you. I can conclude it was after 1996.
23	file that relates back to some claims you	23	Q. And it would have been before sometime
24		24	in 1998 when you transferred responsibility for
25	A. It was kept on my computer. It had	25	the Jefferson-Pilot block of business to

	Page 14		Page 16
1	Mr. Dempsey?	1	DMS." Was this actually copied to DMS?
2	A. I don't recall specifically when that	2	A. I don't recall.
3	transfer happened. But, yes, it would have been	3	Q. It was your intention to at least copy
4	before whenever the transfer happened.	4	it to DMS?
5	Q. The reserves you state in that	5	A. The document would certainly indicate
6	document, is that just ERC reserves for its	6	that, but I don't have an independent
7	portion of the responsibility on the claim?	7	recollection.
8	A. I don't remember.	8	Q. Did you leave a copy with
9	Q. Can you tell from this document what	9	Jefferson-Pilot?
10	ERC's percentage of claim responsibility it was?	10	A. Yes. This would have been information
11	A. It appears that there were two	11	that was given to Jefferson-Pilot.
12	policies, one of which was 67 percent ceded, one	12	Q. Are you mindful that there was a
13	that was 100 percent ceded.	13	consulting agreement between DMS and ERC in the
14	Q. And ceded for the jury means what?	14	'90's?
15	A. An insurance company can enter into a	15	A. I am aware that that existed.
16	relationship with a reinsurance company whereby	16	Q. Have you ever seen one?
17	a portion of the risk is in effect insured by	17	A. No.
18	the reinsurer. The reinsurer then reimburses	18	Q. Did you ever make use of that
19	the cedent for that portion, whatever portion is	19	consulting agreement?
20	agreed amongst the companies. Ceded is the term	20	A. I don't understand the question.
21	that's used to describe the transfer of that	21	Q. Did you ever direct claim files to DMS
22	portion to the reinsurer.	22	for their review pursuant to the consulting
23	Q. And does your record reflect what you	23	agreement in your capacity as claims counsel?
24	determined from your claim file review what the	24	A. I directed files to DMS for as DMS
25	period of the benefit was, benefit duration?	25	assist the reinsured with looking at files.
	Page 15		Page 17
1	A. The document indicates lifetime. I	1	Whether it was pursuant to that consulting
2	don't have independent recollection of that.	2	agreement, I don't know.
3	Q. Would you have reviewed the policy to	3	Q. Was that something you did hundreds of
4	come to that conclusion, the schedules to the	4	times, dozens of times, couple times, rarely?
5	policy?	5	A. I couldn't recall the specific number
6	A. Typically the answer to that would be	6	of times.
7	yes. I don't recall how the Jefferson-Pilot	7	Q. Was it in the hundreds?
8	files were organized. For the duration policy	8	A. I don't recall. That it seems like
9	it's also possible that there was a deck page in	9	hundreds would be a high number.
10	the file as opposed to the whole policy. I	10	Q. Did you direct that JP send the Kearney
11	don't remember.	11	file to DMS for its review?
12	Q. And what I will call it a To-Do List items 1 through 7, is that a list of things that	12	A. I don't recall. I would not have it
14	you thought would be good or appropriate to do?	13 14	would be unlikely that I would have directed them to do that.
15	A. Well, I don't necessarily agree that it	15	Q. Did you recommend?
16	is a To-Do List. It is activities that when I	16	A. I don't recall.
17	reviewed the file I thought would be useful in	17	Q. Have you reviewed any other documents
18	terms of substantiating the information in the	18	other than what I have shown you as attached to
19	file.	19	Exhibit 2?
20	Q. I call it a To-Do List. I was only	20	A. No, I have not.
21	referring to 10ths. It's things that hadn't	21	Q. Did you meet with Mr. Meagher and
22	been done, but you were recommending to be done	22	Mr. Baty for several hours in preparation for
23	in the future, right?	23	the deposition?
24	A. I don't recall.	24	A. No.
25	Q. Okay. At the bottom it says "Copy to	25	Q. Did you meet with Mr. Meagher at all
123	Q. Okay. At the bottom it says "Copy to	25	Q. Did you meet with Mr. Meagher at all

	Page 18		Page 20
1	prior to the deposition today?	1	anticipation of litigation, correct?
2	A. Yes, I did.	2	MR. MEAGHER: Objection to form.
3	Q. Was that yesterday or just today?	3	You can answer.
4	A. It was today.	4	A. I can see in the summary that it
5	Q. Have you seen a privilege log that was	5	indicates memorandum and in the subject
6	produced in this lawsuit?	6	recommendation. I don't recall beyond that.
7	A. No, I have not.	7	Q. (By Mr. Roberts) And it suggest that
8	Q from ERC? Sir, we've marked as	8	the document is protected from disclosure under
9	Exhibit 1 in Mr. Dempsey's deposition a	9	the work product doctrine, right?
10	privilege log that was provided to me. And I	10	MR. MEAGHER: Objection to form.
11	would ask that you have you seen one of these	11	A. It is in the privilege log.
12	before? Far left hand column is a sequence of	12	Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Do you recall
13	numbers listed numerically.	13	anticipating litigation against Mr. Kearney in
13		14	1996?
15	<ul><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. If you could turn to the page that</li></ul>	15	A. No. I don't recall I don't recall
1	contains the reference to page 1466.	16	anything about this claim to be perfectly
16	. 0	17	honest.
17	A. Okay.	18	
18 19	Q. Do you have an understanding of what	19	Q. Can you turn to the entry for Document 316?
1	"work product" means?	20	A. Okay.
20	A. Yes, I do.	21	•
21	Q. Can you tell the jury what it means?	22	Q. That suggest in May of '98 you had some
22	A. Work product is a form of	23	attorney/client and work product communications
23	attorney/client privilege where activities are	24	regarding claim handling with a lawyer named
24	undertaken at the request of an attorney.	25	Bill Ellis, right?
25	Q. In anticipation of litigation?  Page 19	23	A. The log indicates that there was  Page 21
1	A. In reasonable anticipation of potential	1	-
$\frac{1}{2}$	litigation.	2	correspondence dated 5-5, 1998 from myself to Mr. Ellis.
3	Q. Document 1466, can you tell the jury	3	Q. Actually the reverse from Mr. Ellis to
4	what the date is associated with that document?	4	you?
5	A. The privilege log indicates 11-14,	5	A. I'm sorry, excuse me.
6	1996. I have I don't recall this document.	6	Q. Do you have any reason to believe
7	Q. But it indicates that you authored some	7	that's not accurate?
8	document to a Mr. Roberson, right?	8	A. I don't recall this document.
9	A. That's what the privilege log	9	Q. Do you recall any interaction with Mr.
10	indicates, correct.	10	Ellis?
11	Q. You do know that Mr. Roberson was an	11	A. I know that we have used Mr. Ellis on a
12	individual in the claims area of	12	couple of cases on varies subjects. I don't
13	Jefferson-Pilot?	13	recall this interaction.
14	A. I don't recall the name Roberson.	14	Q. When would have been the last time
15	Q. And this suggest that on that date you	15	prior to 2007 2007 you received a subpoena.
16	issued some sort of a recommendation that was in	16	So prior to 2007, when would have been the last
17	anticipation of litigation on the Kearney claim,	17	time you had any interaction of any nature with
18	right?	18	regard to Chris Kearney or his claim?
19	MR. MEAGHER: Objection to form.	19	A. I don't recall. It would surprise me
20	A. It was in well, I don't recall.	20	if it was after 1998.
21	Q. (By Mr. Roberts) I'm not asking if you	21	Q. Do you recall with what frequency
22	recall. I'm just saying this entry here on this	22	receiving documents regarding the Kearney claim
23	privilege log suggest that in November of '96	23	from Jefferson-Pilot?
24	you sent a memorandum to Roberson that was a	24	A. No, I don't.
25	recommendation on the Kearney claim in	25	Q. In the 1997 timeframe you don't know
	, <del></del>		C Jon dolle kille iv

	Page 22		Page 24
1	how many claims you had facilitated DMS	1	Kearney, so I don't know.
2	reviewing?	2	Q. You didn't stumble across a similar
3	A. I don't recall.	3	form for Mr. Kahn or Mr. London?
4	Q. You don't know if it's less than a	4	A. Again, I searched only for Mr. Kearney.
5	handful or more than hundred?	5	Q. You did an electronic search?
6	A. The number hundred strikes me as high.	6	A. Yes.
7	Other than that I don't recall.	7	Q. You did the word "Kearney" and the only
8	Q. Could it have been just a handful?	8	hit was that particular document?
9	A. Probably was more than a handful.	9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. What directed you to make the decision	10	Q. And it was stored in a electronic file
11	to send a claim file to DMS for review?	11	that relates back to your 1997 visit to North
12	A. Could be a variety of factors. It	12	Carolina?
13	could relate to the staffing at the company that	13	A. It relates back to a visit to North
14	we were doing a claim audit at or had a	14	Carolina. I don't know the timeframe.
15	relationship with. It could be indications in	15	Q. Sitting here, do you recall whether you
16	the file that there were that there was a	16	facilitated, recommended that these three claim
17	need for further investigation that the primary	17	files go to DMS for its review?
18	company had not done. Could be a number of	18	A. No, I don't.
19	things.	19	Q. When you would go review a claim file
20	Q. Jefferson-Pilot had its own claim	20	at Jefferson-Pilot, tell me what would happen
21	department in '97/'98, right?	21	physically?
22	A. I recall them having their own claim	22	A. We would arrange with Jefferson-Pilot
23	department when I visited them. I can't be	23	for dates that would be convenient for us to be
24	specific as to the '97 or '98 timeframe.	24	at Jefferson-Pilot. We would produce a listing
25	Q. We have marked as Exhibit 9 a July 8,	25	of files that we wanted to review. We would
	Page 23		Page 25
1	'97 letter, Bates 502 from Shelton to DMS that	1	send that to Jefferson-Pilot. We would travel
2	refers to DMS for its review three files. Do	2	to Jefferson-Pilot. Jefferson-Pilot would
3	you see that?	3	produce the files and we would review them.
4	A. Yes.	4	Q. The files in total?
5	Q. When you were in the process of	5	A. I can't say that every file we
6	reviewing, collecting documents pursuant to the	6	requested was produced. Some would be in the
7	subpoena you received, you came cross this	7	process of payment or in use such that they were
8	document on Kearney, right?	8	not available at the times that we were there.
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. But you did review Mr. Kearney's claim
10	Q. And in that same file did you come	10	because claim file because you created that
11	across similar documents for Mr. Kahn and	11	document?
12	Mr. London?	12	A. Yes. And I don't have a recollection
13	MR. MEAGHER: I'm going to	13	of doing that. The document certainly indicates
14	object. Again, I believe that information on	14	that I did.
15	the identity of other claimants should be	15	Q. You've spoken the first person plural
16	redacted. I made that clear in Mr. Dempsey's	16	about these visits to North Carolina. Did other
17	deposition. You now have read their names into	17	people accompany you?
18	the record in direct contradiction to my	18	A. Mr. Dempsey accompanied me on at least
19	position on that. So I think that should be	19	one visit. And I believe Bob Lainner
20	redacted from the transcript also and this	20	accompanied me on one visit as well.
21	record redacted.	21	Q. And you would just split up the work
22	Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Sir, this is already	22	loads when you got there?
23	a public record. It's attached to several	23	A. We would look at we would look at
24	pleadings in litigation.	24	different files, yes.
25	A. I searched only for Christopher	25	Q. Sir, I apologize for making you wait.